

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/033,213	12/28/2001	Masatake Miyabe	FUJH 19.302	7376
26304	7590 05/17/2005		EXAM	INER
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP 575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585			LEUNG, CH	RISTINA Y
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2633	

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/033,213	MIYABE, MASATAKE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christina Y. Leung	2633			
The MAILING DATE of this commun. Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states a specified above in the maximum states are specified above. The maximum states are specified above, the maximum states are specified above. The maximum states are specifie	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repulantication. O) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>28 December 2001</u> .				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a  5) ⊠ Claim(s) 2,3,5 and 6 is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 4 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the 10) ⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 28 December	re withdrawn from consideration.  ction and/or election requirement.  e Examiner.	objected to by the Examiner.			
	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand the correction is required if the drawing(s b by the Examiner. Note the attached	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in Aport of the priority documents have been in all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(e)					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 2633

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasagawa et al. (US 6,771,645 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Sasagawa et al. disclose a packet switching system (Figure 1) comprising:

a table for mapping either an input label value or a set of input label value and input port to an output port and an output label (Figure 2, column 7, lines 25-39 and lines 61-67),

wherein a state variable is set for either the input label value or the set of input label value and input port mapped in the table to represent one of three states (column 5, lines 54-58; column 8, lines 18-21); and

a packet switch for packet-switching packet data according to the information mapped in the table (column 7, lines 25-60).

Sasagawa et al. disclose that the three states are "open," "closed," and "reserved" (column 5, lines 54-58; column 8, lines 18-21). They do not specifically disclose that the three

Art Unit: 2633

states in their system may be specifically called "not reserved," "reserved," and "double reserved," but it is well understood in the art that generalized "states" represented by a variable may be represented by any choice of terminology.

Examiner respectfully notes that claim 1 only recites that a "state variable is set" representing one of three states and does not specifically recite a particular meaning for the states. In other words, the claims do not specifically recite what the states of "not reserved," "reserved," and "double reserved" actually represent in terms of the other elements in the system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the terms recited in claim 1 as the names of the three states already disclosed by Sasagawa et al. with respect to labels as an engineering design choice of words to differentiate between the states.

4. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xiong et al. (US 6,671,256 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Xiong et al. disclose a packet switching system (Figures 3 and 5) comprising:

a table for mapping either an input label value or a set of input label value and input port to an output port and an output label (Figures 4 and 6; column 4, lines 17-47),

wherein a state variable is set for either the input label value or the set of input label value and input port mapped in the table to represent one of three states (column 4, lines 33-47) and

a packet switch for packet-switching packet data according to the information mapped in the table (column 3, lines 34-67; column 4, lines 1-61).

Regarding claim 4, Xiong et al. disclose an optical cross-connect system (Figures 3 and 5) comprising:

Art Unit: 2633

a table for mapping a set of input wavelength and input port to an output port and an output wavelength (Figures 8 and 9),

wherein a state variable is set for either the input wavelength or the combination of input wavelength and input port mapped in the table to represent one of three states (column 7, lines 53-67, column 8, lines 1-14) and

cross-connect equipment for converting wavelengths according to the information mapped in the table (column 5, lines 24-67; column 6, lines 1-46).

Regarding both claims 1 and 4, Xiong et al. disclose that the three states are "unreserved", "reserved," and "reservation in progress" (column 4, lines 33-35; column 7, lines 53-67; column 8, lines 1-14). They do not specifically disclose that the three states in their system may be specifically called "not reserved," "reserved," and "double reserved," but it is well understood in the art that generalized "states" represented by a variable may be represented by any choice of terminology.

Again, Examiner respectfully notes that claims 1 and 4 each only recite that a "state variable is set" representing one of three states and does not specifically recite a particular meaning for the states. In other words, the claims do not specifically recite what the states of "not reserved," "reserved," and "double reserved" actually represent in terms of the other elements in the system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the terms recited in claims 1 or 4 as the names of the three states already disclosed by Xiong et al. with respect to labels and wavelengths as an engineering design choice of words to differentiate between the states.

Application/Control Number: 10/033,213 Page 5

Art Unit: 2633

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2, 3, 5, and 6 allowed.

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art, including Sasagawa et al. and Xiong et al., does not specifically disclose or fairly suggest a system including the combination all the limitations, elements, and steps recited in each of claims 2, 3, 5, and 6, particularly including the steps of setting a label/wavelength for an old route to a double reserved state in case a new route being requested in a label/wavelength request overlaps with the old route, or reserving a new label in case the new route does not overlap with the old route; and when receiving a label/wavelength release request, either setting the double reserved label/wavelength to a reserved state being reserved only for the new route in case the new route overlaps with the old route, or releasing the label/wavelength for old route in case the new route does not overlap with the old route.

### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christina Y. Leung whose telephone number is 571-272-3023. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 6:30 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on 571-272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

Art Unit: 2633

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christina Y Leurg Christina Y Leurg Patent Examined Art Unit 2633